arXiv:1512.00617v1 [math.AC] 2 Dec 2015

ALGEBRAIC INVARIANTS OF PROJECTIVE MONOMIAL CURVES ASSOCIATED TO GENERALIZED ARITHMETIC SEQUENCES

ISABEL BERMEJO *, EVA GARCÍA-LLORENTE *, AND IGNACIO GARCÍA-MARCO

ABSTRACT. Let K be an infinite field and let $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ be a generalized arithmetic sequence of positive integers, i.e., there exist $h, d, m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $m_i = hm_1 + (i-1)d$ for all $i \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$. We consider the projective monomial curve $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^n_K$ parametrically defined by

$$x_1 = s^{m_1}t^{m_n-m_1}, \ldots, x_{n-1} = s^{m_{n-1}}t^{m_n-m_{n-1}}, x_n = s^{m_n}, x_{n+1} = t^{m_n}.$$

In this work, we characterize the Cohen-Macaulay and Koszul properties of the homogeneous coordinate ring $K[\mathcal{C}]$ of $\mathcal{C}$. Whenever $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Cohen-Macaulay we also obtain a formula for its Cohen-Macaulay type. Moreover, when h divides d, we obtain a minimal Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ of the vanishing ideal of $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order. From $\mathcal{G}$ we derive formulas for the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, the Hilbert series and the Hilbert function of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ in terms of the sequence.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}]$ be the polynomial ring in $n+1$ variables over an infinite field K, where $n \geq 2$. Let $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ be positive integers and consider the projective monomial curve $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^n_K$ parametrically defined by

$$x_1 = s^{m_1}t^{m_n-m_1}, \ldots, x_{n-1} = s^{m_{n-1}}t^{m_n-m_{n-1}}, x_n = s^{m_n}, x_{n+1} = t^{m_n}.$$

Consider the K-algebra homomorphism $\varphi : R \to K[s,t]$ induced by $\varphi(x_i) = s^{m_i}t^{m_n-m_i}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, $\varphi(x_n) = s^{m_n}$ and $\varphi(x_{n+1}) = t^{m_n}$. Since K is infinite, the prime ideal $ker(\varphi) \subset R$ is the vanishing ideal $I(\mathcal{C})$ of $\mathcal{C}$ (see, e.g., [13, Corollary 7.1.12]). Thus, $R/I(\mathcal{C})$ is the homogenous coordinate ring $K[\mathcal{C}]$ of $\mathcal{C}$. Moreover, $I(\mathcal{C})$ is a homogeneous binomial ideal (see, e.g., [12, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.14]).

The *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity* , or *regularity*, of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is defined as follows: if

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta_p} R(-e_{pj}) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta_1} R(-e_{1j}) \to R \to K[\mathcal{C}] \to 0$$

is a minimal graded free resolution of $K[\mathcal{C}]$, then $\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}]) := \max\{e_{ij} - i;\ 1 \leq i \leq p,\ 1 \leq j \leq \beta_i\}$ (see, e.g., [9] or [1] for equivalent definitions). Whenever $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Cohen-Macaulay, the integer β_p is the *Cohen-Macaulay type* of $K[\mathcal{C}]$; in addition, if $\beta_p = 1$, the K-algebra $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is *Gorenstein*. The corresponding ideal, $I(\mathcal{C})$, is a *complete intersection* if it can be generated by a set of $n-1$ polynomials. $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is said to be a *Koszul algebra* if its residue class field K has a linear free resolution as $K[\mathcal{C}]$-module. The study of Koszul algebras is a topic of considerable current interest, for a recent account of the theory we refer the reader to [8].

For all $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by R_s the K-vector space spanned by all homogeneous polynomials of degree s. The *Hilbert function* and *Hilbert series* of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ are $HF_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(s) := \dim_K(R_s/I(\mathcal{C}) \cap R_s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t) := \sum_{s\in\mathbb{N}} HF_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(s)\, t^s$, respectively.

In this paper we study the already mentioned invariants and properties when $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ is a generalized arithmetic sequence of relatively prime integers, i.e., there exist $h, d, m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $m_i = hm_1 + (i-1)d$ for each $i \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$ and $\gcd\{m_1, d\} = 1$. In this context, we prove that $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ is an arithmetic sequence. It is

The three authors are partially supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Spain MTM2013-40775-P.

remarkable that this result is obtained as a consequence of a new result providing a large family of projective monomial curves that are not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay. We also provide an alternative proof of [3, Theorem 6.1], where we determine that $I(\mathcal{C})$ is a complete intersection if and only if either $n = 2$ or $n = 3$, $h = 1$ and m_1 is even. Moreover, we prove that $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Koszul if and only if $m_1, \ldots, m_n$ are consecutive numbers and $n > m_1$.

In addition, we study in detail when $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ is a generalized arithmetic sequence and h divides d. In this setting we provide a formula for $\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}])$. To this end, our main reference is [6]. In this paper, the authors prove that for any projective monomial curve $\mathcal{C}$, the regularity of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is equal to the regularity of $R/\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$, where $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$ denotes the initial ideal of $I(\mathcal{C})$ with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order with $x_1 > \cdots > x_{n+1}$ (see [6, Section 4]). Moreover, they obtain that the regularity of $R/\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$ is the maximum of the regularities of the irreducible components of $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$ (see [6, Corollary 3.17]). Since the regularity of an irreducible monomial ideal is easy to compute, our strategy consists of obtaining a minimal Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ of $I(\mathcal{C})$ with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order with $x_1 > \cdots > x_{n+1}$. From $\mathcal{G}$, we get the irreducible components of $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$, which allow us to deduce the value of $\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}])$ in terms of the arithmetic sequence $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$. For obtaining this result we separate two cases: the Cohen-Macaulay case, i.e., when $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ is an arithmetic sequence (Section 2); and the non Cohen-Macaulay one, i.e., when $h \geq 2$ and h divides d (Section 3).

We also exploit the knowledge of $\mathcal{G}$ to describe Hilbert series and the Hilbert function of $K[\mathcal{C}]$. Additionally, in the Cohen-Macaulay case, we provide a formula for its Cohen-Macaulay type yielding a characterization of the Gorenstein property. The results in the Cohen-Macaulay case extend in a natural way those of [11] that consider the particular setting where $\{m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$ form a minimal set of generators of the semigroup they generate.

The contents of the paper are the following. Section 2 is devoted to arithmetic sequences. The key result is Theorem 2.2, which provides $\mathcal{G}$. As a byproduct, we derive in Corollary 2.3 that the homogeneous coordinate ring of any projective monomial curve associated to an arithmetic sequence is Cohen-Macaulay. Proposition 2.5 gives the irreducible components of $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$. As a consequence of this, in Theorem 2.7, we get the value of the regularity of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ in terms of the sequence $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$. In Theorems 2.9, 2.11 and 2.13 we provide the Hilbert series, the Hilbert function and the Cohen-Macaulay type of $K[\mathcal{C}]$. In addition, we give in Corollary 2.14 a characterization of the Gorenstein property for $K[\mathcal{C}]$.

In the third section we begin by providing in Theorem 3.1 a large family of projective monomial curves whose corresponding coordinate ring is not Cohen-Macaulay. More precisely, we prove that $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is not Cohen-Macaulay whenever $\mathcal{C}$ is the projective monomial curve associated to a sequence of integers containing a generalized arithmetic sequence of at least $l \geq 3$ terms satisfying some additional hypotheses. The rest of this section concerns when $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ is a generalized arithmetic sequence and $n \geq 3$. In particular, as a byproduct of Theorem 3.1, we derive in Corollary 3.2 that in this setting $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is not Cohen-Macaulay unless $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ is an arithmetic sequence, i.e., if $h = 1$. As a consequence of this we characterize in Corollary 3.3 the complete intersection property for $I(\mathcal{C})$. Then, we study in detail the setting where $h > 1$ and h divides d. Under these hypotheses, we obtain the Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ in Theorem 3.10, which also is a minimal set of generators of $I(\mathcal{C})$. In Proposition 3.13 we present the irreducible decomposition of $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$. The formula for $\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}])$ is provided in Theorem 3.14, while in Corollary 3.15 we prove that the regularity is always attained at the last step of the minimal graded free resolution. The Hilbert series, the Hilbert function and the Hilbert polynomial are given in Theorems 3.16, 3.18 and Corollary 3.19, respectively.

The last section is devoted to the study of the Koszul property for $K[\mathcal{C}]$. In particular, Theorem 4.1 characterizes when $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is a Koszul algebra when $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ is a generalized arithmetic

sequence. Finally, we also characterize the Koszul property when $n = 3$ (Theorem 4.3) and when $n = 4$ (Theorem 4.4).

2. Arithmetic sequences

This section concerns the study of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ when $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ an arithmetic sequence of relatively prime integers and $n \geq 2$. The key point of this section is to prove Theorem 2.2, where we describe a minimal Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ of $I(\mathcal{C})$ with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order with $x_1 > \cdots > x_{n+1}$.

We begin with a technical lemma. In it we associate to the sequence $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ a pair $(\alpha, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2$. This pair of integers plays an important role in the rest of the paper.

Lemma 2.1. *Take $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $m_1 = q(n-1) + r$ and set $\alpha := q + d$ and $k := n - r$. Then,*

(a) $\alpha m_1 + m_i = m_{n-k+i} + q m_n$ *for all* $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$,
(b) $\alpha + 1 = \min\{b \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid b\, m_1 \in \sum_{i=2}^n \mathbb{N} m_i\}$.

Proof. Being (a) trivial, let us prove (b). Set $M := \min\{b \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid b\, m_1 \in \sum_{i=2}^n \mathbb{N} m_i\}$. By (a) it follows that $(\alpha+1)m_1 = m_{n-k+1} + q m_n$, so $M \leq \alpha + 1$. Assume by contradiction that $M \leq \alpha$. We have that

$$M m_1 = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \delta_i m_i \text{ for some } \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1}$$

We are proving the following facts which clearly lead to a contradiction:

(i) $M - \sum_{i=2}^n \delta_i > 0$,
(ii) $M - \sum_{i=2}^n \delta_i < d$, and
(iii) d divides $M - \sum_{i=2}^n \delta_i$.

From (1) we get (i). Also from (1) we have $(M - \sum_{i=2}^n \delta_i)\, m_1 = \sum_{i=2}^n (i-1)\delta_i d$, which gives (iii) because $\gcd\{m_1, d\} = 1$. From (1) and the assumption $M \leq \alpha$, we obtain $(\sum_{i=2}^n \delta_i)\, m_n \geq \sum_{i=2}^n \delta_i m_i = M m_1 = M m_n - M(n-1)d \geq M m_n - \alpha(n-1)d > (M-d)\, m_n$. This proves (ii) and completes the proof of (b).

□

Following the notation of Lemma 2.1, we can state the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.2.

$$\mathcal{G} = \{x_i x_j - x_{i-1} x_{j+1} \mid 2 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1\} \cup \{x_1^\alpha x_i - x_{n+1-i} x_n^q x_{n+1}^d \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$$

is a minimal Gröbner basis of $I(\mathcal{C})$ with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order.

Proof. One can easily check that $\mathcal{G} \subseteq I(\mathcal{C})$ by using Lemma 2.1.(a). Let us prove that $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \subseteq \langle \mathrm{in}(\mathcal{G}) \rangle$ where $\mathrm{in}(\mathcal{G}) = \{x_i x_j \mid 2 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1\} \cup \{x_1^\alpha x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$. By contradiction, suppose that $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \not\subseteq \langle \mathrm{in}(\mathcal{G}) \rangle$. We claim that there exist two monomials $l_1, l_2 \notin \langle \mathrm{in}(\mathcal{G}) \rangle$ such that $l_1 - l_2 \in I(\mathcal{C})$. Indeed, if $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \not\subseteq \langle \mathrm{in}(\mathcal{G}) \rangle$, then the cosets of $\{l \,|\, l \text{ is a monomial and } l \notin \langle \mathrm{in}(\mathcal{G}) \rangle\}$ do not form a K-basis of $K[\mathcal{C}]$. Then there exist monomials $l_1, \ldots, l_t \notin \langle \mathrm{in}(\mathcal{G}) \rangle$ and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_t \in K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^t \lambda_i l_i \in I(\mathcal{C})$. Since $\varphi(f) = 0$ and $\varphi(l_1) \neq 0$, there exists $j \in \{2, \ldots, t\}$ such that $\varphi(l_1) = \varphi(l_j)$. Suppose that $j = 2$, then $l_1 - l_2 \in I(\mathcal{C})$ and $l_1, l_2 \notin \langle \mathrm{in}(\mathcal{G}) \rangle$. We can also assume that $\gcd\{l_1, l_2\} = 1$ because $I(\mathcal{C})$ is prime.

Since $\mathrm{in}(\mathcal{G}) = \{x_i x_j \mid 2 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1\} \cup \{x_1^\alpha x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$, we may assume that $l_1 = x_1^a x_i^{\delta_i} x_n^{b_1} x_{n+1}^{c_1}$ and $l_2 = x_j^{\delta_j} x_n^{b_2} x_{n+1}^{c_2}$, where:

(a) $0 \leq a \leq \alpha$,
(b) $2 \leq i, j \leq n-1$, $i \neq j$,
(c) $\delta_i, \delta_j \in \{0, 1\}$,

(d) $b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 \geq 0$, and
(e) if $a = \alpha$ and $\delta_i = 1$, then $i > k$ (otherwise $l_1 \in \langle \mathrm{in}(\mathcal{G})\rangle$).

Moreover, since $\varphi(l_1) = \varphi(l_2)$, we get that $am_1 + \delta_i m_i + b_1 m_n = \delta_j m_j + b_2 m_n$.

If $a = 0$, we may assume that $b_1 = 0$ because $\gcd\{l_1, l_2\} = 1$. However, in this setting we have that $\delta_i m_i = \delta_j m_j + b_2 m_n$, but this implies that $b_2 = 0$ and that $m_i = m_j$. Hence $l_1 = l_2$, a contradiction.

From now on, we assume that $a \geq 1$. Firstly, we observe that $b_1 = 0$; otherwise $b_2 = 0$ and $am_1 + \delta_i m_i + b_1 m_n \geq m_n > \delta_j m_j$, a contradiction. Hence, we get that

$$am_1 + \delta_i m_i = \delta_j m_j + b_2 m_n. \tag{2}$$

We consider several different cases:

Case 1: If $\delta_i = 0$ then (2) becomes $am_1 = \delta_j m_j + b_2 m_n$. By Lemma 2.1.(b), we get that $a \geq \alpha + 1$, a contradiction.

Case 2: If $\delta_j = 0$ then (2) becomes $am_1 + \delta_i m_i = b_2 m_n$. From Lemma 2.1 we get that $a = \alpha$, then $\delta_i = 1$ and $i = k$, a contradiction.

Case 3: If $\delta_i = \delta_j = 1$, then $am_1 + m_i = m_j + b_2 m_n$ from (2).

Subcase 3.1: If $i > j$, one can derive that $am_1 = m_{n-i+j} + (b_2 - 1)m_n$. By Lemma 2.1.(b), this implies that $a \geq \alpha + 1$, a contradiction.

Subcase 3.2: If $i < j$, one can easily obtain that $am_1 + m_{n-j+i} = (b_2 + 1)m_n$, which implies that $a \geq \alpha$. Thus, $a = \alpha$ and $n - j + 1 = k$, a contradiction to (e).

Moreover, one can easily check that $\mathcal{G}$ is minimal.

□

We observe in Theorem 2.2 that the variables x_n and x_{n+1} do not appear in the minimal set of generators of $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$. Thus we apply [5, Proposition 2.1] to deduce the following result.

Corollary 2.3. *$K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

It is worth mentioning that this result is a generalization of [11, Proposition 1.2] where the authors obtain the result in a more restrictive setting, i.e., when $\{m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$ is a minimal system of generators of the semigroup $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{N}m_i$. It is worth mentioning that our techniques are different from theirs.

Remark 2.4. The Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ provided in Theorem 2.2 is also a minimal set of generators of $I(\mathcal{C})$, see [10, Remark 3.13]. Thus, the first Betti number β_1 in the minimal graded free resolution of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ equals $\binom{n-1}{2} + k$.

Our next objective is to provide an explicit description of the irredundant irreducible decomposition of the initial ideal $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$ of $I(\mathcal{C})$ with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order. An ideal is *irreducible* if it cannot be written as intersection of two ideals properly containing it. A monomial ideal is irreducible if it is generated by pure powers of variables (see, e.g., [13, Proposition 5.1.6]). Moreover, an *irreducible decomposition* of a monomial ideal is an expression of it as intersection of irreducible monomial ideals. If there is no redundant ideal in the intersection, this expression is unique (see, e.g., [13, Theorem 5.1.17]) and we call it *the irredundant irreducible decomposition* of I.

Proposition 2.5. *Let α, k be the as in Lemma 2.1.*

(1) If $k < n - 1$, then

$$\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) = \textstyle\bigcap_{i=2}^{n-1} \langle x_1^{\alpha+\delta_i}, x_2, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i^2, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\rangle$$

is the irredundant irreducible decomposition of $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$, where $\delta_i = 0$ if $i \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$ and $\delta_i = 1$ if $i \in \{k+1, \ldots, n-1\}$.

(2) If $k = n - 1$, then

$$\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) = \begin{array}{l}\left[\bigcap_{i=2}^{n-1} \langle x_1^{\alpha}, x_2, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i^2, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\rangle\right] \\ \bigcap \langle x_1^{\alpha+1}, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}\rangle\end{array}$$

is the irredundant irreducible decomposition of $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$.

Proof. Let us denote $A_i := \langle x_1^{\alpha+\delta_i}, x_2, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i^2, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\rangle$, for every $i \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$, with $\delta_i = 0$ if $i \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$ and $\delta_i = 1$ if $i \in \{k+1, \ldots, n-1\}$, and $B := \langle x_1^{\alpha+1}, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}\rangle$. We denote $A := \bigcap_{i=2}^{n-1} A_i$ if $k < n-1$, or $A := \bigcap_{i=2}^{n-1} A_i \cap B$ otherwise, and we aim at proving that $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) = A$. From Theorem 2.2, we have that $\{x_i x_j \mid 2 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1\} \cup \{x_1^\alpha x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ is a minimal system of generators of $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$ with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order with $x_1 > \cdots > x_{n+1}$. We observe that $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \subseteq A_i$ for all $i \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$ and, if $k = n-1$, that $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \subseteq B$. Thus $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \subseteq A$.

In order to see the reverse inclusion, we take a monomial $l \notin \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$. We have three possibilities:

(a) $l = x_1^a x_i x_n^b x_{n+1}^c$ with $0 \leq a < \alpha$, $i \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $b, c \geq 0$,
(b) $l = x_1^a x_n^b x_{n+1}^c$ with $0 \leq a \leq \alpha$ and $b, c \geq 0$, or
(c) $l = x_1^\alpha x_i x_n^b x_{n+1}^c$ with $i \in \{k+1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $b, c \geq 0$.

If (a) holds, then $l \notin A_i$. In (b), we have that $l \notin A_{n-1}$ if $k < n-1$ and $l \notin B$ if $k = n-1$. In (c), we observe that $k < i \leq n-1$, and then $l \notin A_i$. Thus, $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) = A$.

It only remains to see that there is no redundant ideal in the intersection. For all $i \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$, we observe that $x_i \in A_j$ for all $j \neq i$, and $x_i \in B$ if $k = n-1$, but $x_i \notin \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$; hence A_i is not redundant. If $k = n-1$, then $x_1^\alpha \in A_i$ for all $i \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$, but $x_1^\alpha \notin \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$, hence B is not redundant.

□

As we claimed in the Introduction, $\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}]) = \mathrm{reg}(R/\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})))$ and the regularity of $R/\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$ can be expressed in terms of the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of the irreducible components of $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$. This is due to the fact that $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$ is a monomial ideal of *nested type*, i.e., its associated primes are of the form $(x_1, \ldots, x_i)$ for various i. The following result states this relation.

Lemma 2.6. [6, Corollary 3.17] *Let $I \subset R$ be a monomial ideal of nested type, and let $I = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_r$ be its irredundant irreducible decomposition. Then,*

$$\mathrm{reg}(R/I) = \max\{\mathrm{reg}(R/\mathfrak{q}_i);\ 1 \leq i \leq r\}$$

Moreover, for $\mathfrak{q}$ an irreducible monomial ideal whose monomial minimal generators have degrees $d_1, \ldots, d_s$ it is known that $\mathrm{reg}(R/\mathfrak{q}) = d_1 + \cdots + d_s - s$ (see, e.g., [5, Remark 2.3]).

The following result gives the value of the regularity of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ in terms of the arithmetic sequence.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ be an arithmetic sequence with $\gcd\{m_1, d\} = 1$. Then,*

$$\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}]) = \left\lceil \frac{m_n - 1}{n-1} \right\rceil.$$

Proof. We take α, k as in Lemma 2.1. A direct application of Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 yields

$$\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}]) = \begin{cases} \alpha + 1 & \text{if } k < n-1, \\ \alpha & \text{if } k = n-1. \end{cases}$$

We observe that $k = n-1$ if and only if $m_n \equiv 1 \pmod{n-1}$. Hence, if $k = n-1$, then $\alpha = q + d = \left\lfloor \frac{m_1-1}{n-1} \right\rfloor + d = \left\lfloor \frac{m_n-1}{n-1} \right\rfloor = \left\lceil \frac{m_n-1}{n-1} \right\rceil$. Moreover, if $k \neq n-1$, then $\alpha + 1 = q + d + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{m_1-1}{n-1} \right\rfloor + d + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{m_n-1}{n-1} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lceil \frac{m_n-1}{n-1} \right\rceil$. □

Now we exploit the description of $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$ to provide an explicit description of the Hilbert series, the Hilbert function and the Cohen-Macaulay type of $K[\mathcal{C}]$. These results have also been obtained in [11] in the more restrictive setting when $\{m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$ is a minimal system of generators of $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{N} m_i$. Before going to the particular case when $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ is an arithmetic

sequence, we introduce a general result expressing the Hilbert series of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ in terms of the monomials not belonging to $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$. This result applies to any projective monomial curve.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ be a sequence of positive integers. The Hilbert series of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is*

$$\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t) = \frac{\sum_{x^\gamma \notin J+\langle x_n, x_{n+1}\rangle} t^{|\gamma|} - t \sum_{\substack{x^\gamma \notin J+\langle x_{n+1}\rangle \\ x_n x^\gamma \in J}} t^{|\gamma|}}{(1-t)^2},$$

where $|\gamma| := \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \gamma_i$ for $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ and $J := \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$. Moreover, if $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then

$$\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t) = \frac{\sum_{x^\gamma \notin J+\langle x_n, x_{n+1}\rangle} t^{|\gamma|}}{(1-t)^2}.$$

Proof. It is well known that the Hilbert series of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ coincides with that of R/J, then $\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t) = \sum_{x^\gamma \notin J} t^{|\gamma|}$. We observe that

$$\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t) = \sum_{\substack{x^\gamma \notin J \\ \gamma_{n+1}=0}} t^{|\gamma|} + \sum_{\substack{x^\gamma \notin J \\ \gamma_{n+1}\neq 0}} t^{|\gamma|}.$$

Since we are considering the degree reverse lexicographic order and $I(\mathcal{C})$ is a homogeneous prime ideal, then x_{n+1} does not appear in any minimal generator of J. Thus, it follows that $\{x^\gamma \notin J \mid \gamma_{n+1} \neq 0\} = \{x_{n+1}x^\beta \mid x^\beta \notin J\}$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t) = \sum_{\substack{x^\gamma \notin J \\ \gamma_{n+1}=0}} t^{|\gamma|} + t \sum_{x^\beta \notin J} t^{|\beta|} = \sum_{\substack{x^\gamma \notin J \\ \gamma_{n+1}=0}} t^{|\gamma|} + t\,\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t).$$

Then, we get that

$$(1-t)\,\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t) = \sum_{\substack{x^\gamma \notin J \\ \gamma_{n+1}=0}} t^{|\gamma|} = \sum_{\substack{x^\gamma \notin J \\ \gamma_n=\gamma_{n+1}=0}} t^{|\gamma|} + \sum_{\substack{x^\gamma \notin J \\ \gamma_n\neq 0,\ \gamma_{n+1}=0}} t^{|\gamma|}.$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{\substack{x^\gamma \notin J \\ \gamma_n\neq 0,\, \gamma_{n+1}=0}} t^{|\gamma|} = t \sum_{\substack{x^\beta \notin J \\ \beta_{n+1}=0}} t^{|\beta|} - t \sum_{\substack{x^\beta \notin J,\, x_n x^\beta \in J \\ \beta_{n+1}=0}} t^{|\beta|}.$$

To conclude the result it suffices to observe that

$$t \sum_{\substack{x^\beta \notin J \\ \beta_{n+1}=0}} t^{|\beta|} = t(1-t)\,\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t).$$

Additionally, when $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Cohen-Macaulay, by [5, Proposition 2.1] we have that $x_n x^\gamma \in J$ if and only if $x^\gamma \in J$ and the result follows. □

As a consequence, when $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ is an arithmetic sequence of relatively prime integers, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.9. *The Hilbert series of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is*

$$\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t) = \frac{1 + (n-1)(t + \cdots + t^\alpha) + (n-1-k)t^{\alpha+1}}{(1-t)^2}.$$

Proof. Since $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Cohen-Macaulay, by Corollary 2.3, we have that

$$(1-t)^2\,\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t) = \sum_{x^\gamma \notin \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))+\langle x_n, x_{n+1}\rangle} t^{|\gamma|}.$$

Now, we apply Theorem 2.2 to get that $\{x^\gamma \mid x^\gamma \notin \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) + \langle x_n, x_{n+1}\rangle\} = \{1\} \cup \{x_1^a x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \text{ and } 0 \leq a \leq \alpha - 1\} \cup \{x_1^\alpha x_i \mid k+1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ and we deduce the result.

□

Remark 2.10. It is worth pointing out that from Theorem 2.9 we can also get the value of $\operatorname{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}])$ because $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Indeed, the regularity of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is attained at the last step of a minimal graded free resolution (see, e.g., [4, Proposition 1.1]). Moreover, the Hilbert series of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is related to a minimal graded free resolution by the formula $\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^{n+1}}(1+\sum_{i=1}^{p}(-1)^i\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_i}t^{e_{ij}})$. Thus, $\operatorname{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}])$ equals the degree of the h-polinomial. Since the h-polynomial of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is $1+(n-1)(t+\cdots+t^{\alpha})+(n-1-k)t^{\alpha+1}$, then $\operatorname{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}])=\alpha$ if $k=n-1$ and $\operatorname{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}])=\alpha+1$ if $k<n-1$.

Theorem 2.11. *The Hilbert function of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is*

$$HF_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(s)=\begin{cases}\binom{s+2}{2}+(n-2)\binom{s+1}{2} & \text{if } 0\leq s<\alpha,\\ m_n\,s+\alpha(2-n+k)-\binom{\alpha+1}{2}-(n-2)\binom{\alpha}{2}+1 & \text{if } s\geq\alpha.\end{cases}$$

Proof. The Hilbert function of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ coincides with that of $R/\operatorname{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$. Thus from Theorem 2.2, we have that

$$K[\mathcal{C}]\simeq\bigoplus_{\gamma,\beta\in\mathbb{N}}\quad\begin{array}{l}\Big\{\big(\bigoplus_{0\leq\lambda\leq\alpha}K\{x_1^{\lambda}x_n^{\beta}x_{n+1}^{\gamma}\}\big)\bigoplus\Big(\bigoplus_{\substack{0\leq\lambda<\alpha\\2\leq i\leq n-1}}K\{x_1^{\lambda}x_ix_n^{\beta}x_{n+1}^{\gamma}\}\Big)\\ \bigoplus\ \big(\bigoplus_{k+1\leq i\leq n-1}K\{x_1^{\alpha}x_ix_n^{\beta}x_{n+1}^{\gamma}\}\big)\Big\}\end{array}$$

Then, for $0\leq s\leq\alpha$, we have that

$$HF_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(s)=\binom{s+2}{2}+(n-2)\binom{s+1}{2}.$$

And for $s\geq\alpha+1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}HF_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(s)&=\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha}(s+1-i)+(n-2)\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1}(s-i)+(n-1-k)(s-\alpha)\\&=(s+1)(\alpha+1)-\binom{\alpha+1}{2}+(n-2)\left(s\alpha-\binom{\alpha}{2}\right)+(n-1-k)(s-\alpha)\\&=((n-1)\alpha+n-k)\,s+\alpha(2-n+k)-\binom{\alpha+1}{2}-(n-2)\binom{\alpha}{2}+1.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we observe from Lemma 2.1 that $\alpha=q+d$ and then $(n-1)\alpha+n-k=(n-1)(q+d)+n-k=m_n$.

□

Remark 2.12. Since $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Cohen-Macaulay, the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is one unit bigger than the regularity of its Hilbert function (see, e.g., [5, Theorem 2.5]). The *regularity of the Hilbert function* of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is the smallest integer s_0 such that for all $s\geq s_0$ its Hilbert polynomial and its Hilbert function in s coincide. Thus, using this approach, Theorem 2.11 allows us to reprove Theorem 2.7. Indeed, the Hilbert polynomial of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is $P_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(s):=m_n\,s+\alpha(2-n+k)-\binom{\alpha+1}{2}-(n-2)\binom{\alpha}{2}+1$ and $P_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(s)=HF_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(s)$ for all $s\geq\alpha$. Moreover, it is easy to check that $P_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(\alpha-1)\neq HF_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(\alpha-1)$ if and only if $k<n-1$. Nevertheless, if $k=n-1$, then $P_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(\alpha-2)\neq HF_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(\alpha-2)$. Thus, the regularity of the Hilbert function equals α if $k<n-1$, or $\alpha-1$ if $k=n-1$.

Now, we obtain the Cohen-Macaulay type of $K[\mathcal{C}]$.

Theorem 2.13. *Take $c\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\tau\in\{1,\ldots,n-1\}$ such that $m_1-1=c(n-1)+\tau$. Then, the Cohen-Macaulay type of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is τ.*

Proof. We set $a_i:=(m_i,m_n-m_i)\in\mathbb{N}^2$ for all $i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$ and $a_{n+1}:=(0,m_n)\in\mathbb{N}^2$ and consider the grading in R induced by $\deg(x_i):=a_i$ for all $i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$ and $\deg(x_{n+1}):=a_{n+1}$. We also consider the sets $\mathcal{B}:=\{\deg(x^{\gamma})\,|\,x^{\gamma}\notin\operatorname{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))+(x_n,x_{n+1})\}\subset\mathbb{N}^2$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}=\{s\in\mathcal{B}\,|\,s+a_i\notin\mathcal{B}\text{ for all }i:1\leq i\leq n-1\}\subset\mathcal{B}$. By [7, Theorem (4.9)], the Cohen-Macaulay type of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is the number of elements in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$.

By Theorem 2.2, we have that

$$\mathcal{B}=\{(0,0)\}\cup\{ba_1+a_i\,|\,0\leq b\leq\alpha-1,1\leq i\leq n-1\}\cup\{\alpha a_1+a_i\,|\,k+1\leq i\leq n-1\},$$

where $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ satisfies that $m_1 + k \equiv 1 \pmod{n-1}$. We claim that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{cases} \{\alpha a_1 + a_i \,|\, k+1 \leq i \leq n-1\} & \text{if } k < n-1 \\ \{(\alpha-1)a_1 + a_i \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq n-1\} & \text{if } k = n-1. \end{cases}$$

For $k < n-1$, if $c = ba_1 + a_i$ with $0 \leq b \leq \alpha - 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, then $c + a_{n-i} = ba_1 + a_i + a_{n-i} = (b+1)a_1 + a_{n-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ and, hence, $c \notin \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Moreover, it is easy to check that $\alpha a_1 + a_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ for all $i : k+1 \leq i \leq n-1$. For $k = n-1$ the claim follows easily.

Therefore, the Cohen-Macaulay type of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is $n-1$ if $k = n-1$, or $n-1-k$ otherwise. Thus, the result follows from the definition of k.

□

From Theorem 2.13 we easily deduce the following characterization of the Gorenstein property for $K[\mathcal{C}]$.

Corollary 2.14. *$K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Gorenstein* $\iff m_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{n-1}$.

Let us illustrate the results of this section with some examples.

Example 2.15. Consider the set $\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5\}$ with $m_1 := 10$, $m_2 := 13$, $m_3 := 16$, $m_4 := 19$ and $m_5 := 22$. We observe that $m_1 = 2 \cdot 4 + 2$, then $q = 2$ and $r = 2$ and we have that $\alpha = q + d = 5$ and that $k = 5 - 2 = 3$. From Theorem 2.7 we get that

$$\operatorname{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}]) = \lceil (22-1)/(5-1) \rceil = 6.$$

Since, $m_1 - 1 = 2(n-1) + 1$, from Theorem 2.13 we obtain that the Cohen-Macaulay type is $\tau = 1$ and hence $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Gorenstein. Moreover, by Theorem 2.9, the Hilbert series is

$$\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t) = \frac{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{5} 4\, t^i + t^6}{(1-t)^2}$$

and by Theorem 2.11 the Hilbert function is

$$HF_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(s) = \begin{cases} \binom{s+2}{2} + 3\binom{s+1}{2} & \text{if } 0 \leq s < 5, \\ 22\, s - 44 & \text{if } s \geq 5, \end{cases}$$

being $\alpha = 5$ the regularity of the Hilbert function, because $k = 3 < n - 1 = 4$ (see Remark 2.12).

Example 2.16. Consider the set $\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5\}$ with $m_1 := 4$, $m_2 := 5$, $m_3 := 6$, $m_4 := 7$ and $m_5 := 8$. We observe that $m_1 = 0 \cdot 4 + 4$, then $q = 0$ and $r = 4$, and we have that $v = 1$, $\alpha = 1$ and that $k = 1$. Then

$$\operatorname{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}]) = \lceil (8-1)/(5-1) \rceil = 2.$$

Since $m_1 - 1 = 0(n-1) + 3$, the Cohen-Macaulay type is $\tau = 3$. Moreover, the Hilbert series and Hilbert function are respectively

$$\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t) = \tfrac{1+4\,t+3\,t^2}{(1-t)^2} \text{ and } HF_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s = 0, \\ 8\, s - 2 & \text{if } s \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

being $\alpha = 1$ the regularity of the Hilbert function.

3. Generalized arithmetic sequences

This section concerns the study of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ when $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ is a generalized arithmetic sequence and $n \geq 3$. We discard the case $n = 2$ because two integers always form an arithmetic sequence and, thus, the study of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ was covered by the previous section.

The first goal of this section is to prove that whenever $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ is a generalized arithmetic sequence, then $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $h = 1$. We prove this as a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1, a more general result providing a big family of projective monomial curves that are not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay. More concretely, this result concerns projective monomial curves $\mathcal{C}$ defined by a sequence $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ containing a generalized arithmetic

sequence of l terms with $l \geq 3$ and finishing in m_n, i.e., there exist $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_l = n$ and $h, d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $m_{i_j} = hm_{i_1} + (j-1)d$ for all $j \in \{2, \ldots, l\}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ contain a generalized arithmetic sequence $m_{i_1} < \cdots < m_{i_l} = m_n$ with $l \geq 3$. If $h > 1$ and $hm_{i_1} \notin \{m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$, then $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is not Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. We are proving that there exists a monomial minimal generator of $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$ involving the variable x_n; thus, by [5, Proposition 2.1], we can conclude that $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is not Cohen-Macaulay. We consider the binomial $f := x_{i_1}^h x_n - x_{i_2} x_{i_{l-1}} x_{n+1}^{h-1} \in I(\mathcal{C})$ and observe that $\mathrm{in}(f) = x_{i_1}^h x_n$ because $h > 1$. If $x_{i_1}^h \notin \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$, then there exists a minimal generator of $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$ involving x_n and $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is not Cohen-Macaulay. So assume that $x_{i_1}^h \in \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$, then there exists $x^\beta \notin \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$ with $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ such that $g := x_{i_1}^h - x^\beta \in I(\mathcal{C})$. We claim that $\beta_{n+1} < h-1$. Indeed x^β has degree h and $x^\beta \neq x_n^h$, so $\beta_{n+1} \leq h-1$, and if $\beta_{n+1} = h-1$ we have that $g = x_{i_1}^h - x_j x_{n+1}^{h-1} \in I(\mathcal{C})$, for some $j \in \{1 \ldots, n\}$, which implies that $hm_{i_1} = m_j$, a contradiction.

Consider now the binomial $f - x_n g = x^\beta x_n - x_{i_2} x_{i_{l-1}} x_{n+1}^{h-1} \in I(\mathcal{C})$. Since $\beta_{n+1} < h-1$ we get that $g' := \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{\beta_j} x_n - x_{i_2} x_{i_{l-1}} x_{n+1}^{h-1-\beta_{j+1}} \in I(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathrm{in}(g') = \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{\beta_j} x_n \in \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$. Nevertheless, $x^\beta \notin \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$, so there exists a minimal generator of $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$ involving x_n and the result follows. □

Now, from Theorems 2.3 and 3.1 we directly derive the following characterization of the Cohen-Macaulay property for $K[\mathcal{C}]$ when $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ is a generalized arithmetic sequence and $n \geq 3$.

Corollary 3.2. *$K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ is an arithmetic sequence.*

Moreover, as a consequence of Corollary 3.2 and Remark 2.4 we can easily recover [3, Theorem 6.1], where the complete intersection property for $I(\mathcal{C})$ is characterized.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ be a generalized arithmetic sequence of relatively prime integers. Then, $I(\mathcal{C})$ is a complete intersection if and only if $n = 3$, $h = 1$, and m_1 is even.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Assume that $I(\mathcal{C})$ is a complete intersection, then $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Cohen-Macaulay and, by Corollary 3.2, $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ is an arithmetic sequence. Hence, by Remark 2.4, we have that $I(\mathcal{C})$ is a complete intersection if and only if $\binom{n-1}{2} + k = n-1$. This equality can only hold if $n = 3$ and $k = 1$ or, equivalently, if $n = 3$ and m_1 even.

($\Leftarrow$) A direct application of Remark 2.4 gives the result. □

In the rest of this section we assume that $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ is a generalized arithmetic sequence of relatively prime integers with $n \geq 3$, $h > 1$ and h divides d. It turns out that under this hypotheses $I(\mathcal{C})$ is closely related to $I(\mathcal{C}')$, where $\mathcal{C}'$ is the projective monomial curve associated to the arithmetic sequence $m_2 < \cdots < m_n$ or, equivalently, to the arithmetic sequence of relatively prime integers $m_2/h < \cdots < m_n/h$, which we have studied in detail in the previous section. For a sake of convenience we assume that $I(\mathcal{C}') \subset K[x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1}]$. The following result shows how the initial ideals of $I(\mathcal{C})$ and $I(\mathcal{C}')$ with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order with $x_1 > \cdots > x_{n+1}$ are related and will be useful in the rest of this section.

Proposition 3.4. $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}')) = \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \cap K[x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1}]$.

Proof. It is obvious that $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}')) \subset \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \cap K[x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1}]$. To prove the converse we consider $\lambda = (0, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ such that $x^\lambda \in \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$ and we assume without loss of generality that $\lambda_{n+1} = 0$. Then, there exists $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ such that $f = x^\lambda - x^\epsilon \in I(\mathcal{C})$ with $\mathrm{in}(f) = x^\lambda$. We consider two cases:

Case 1: If $\epsilon_1 = 0$, then $f \in I(\mathcal{C}) \cap K[x_2, \ldots, x_n] = I(\mathcal{C}')$.

Case 2: If $\epsilon_1 \neq 0$, then we are proving that there exists $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ such that $\mu_1 < \epsilon_1$ and $g := x^\lambda - x^\mu \in I(\mathcal{C})$ with $\mathrm{in}(g) = x^\lambda$. Firstly, we claim that $\epsilon_1 \geq h$. Indeed, $\epsilon_1 m_1 = \sum_{i=2}^n (\lambda_i - \epsilon_i) m_i$, $\gcd\{m_1, h\} = 1$ and h divides m_i for all $i \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$;

thus, $\epsilon_1 = h\epsilon'_1$. Moreover, there exist $j \in \{3,\dots,n\}$ such that $\epsilon_j > 0$. Otherwise, we have $\epsilon_1 m_1 + \epsilon_2 m_2 = \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i m_i$ and $m_1 < m_2 \leq m_i$ for all $i \in \{2,\dots,n\}$, then $\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i < \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$. This implies that $\lambda_{n+1} > 0$ since f homogeneous, a contradiction. So we take $j \in \{3,\dots,n\}$ such that $\epsilon_j > 0$ and consider $x^\mu = x^\epsilon x_1^{-h} x_2 x_{j-1} x_j^{-1} x_{n+1}^{h-1}$ and $g := x^\lambda - x^\mu$. One can easily check that $g \in I(\mathcal{C})$, that $x^\mu < x^\epsilon$, so $\mathrm{in}(g) = \mathrm{in}(f)$, and $\mu_1 = \epsilon_1 - h < \epsilon_1$. Iterating the same argument if necessary, we get the result. □

Remark 3.5. When h does not divide d it is easy to find examples where the conclusion of Proposition 3.4 does not hold. For example, we consider the set $\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5\}$ with $m_1 := 2$, $m_2 := 35$, $m_3 := 46$, $m_4 := 57$ and $m_5 := 68$, where $h = 12$ and $d = 11$. By Proposition 2.2, we have that $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}')) = \langle x_2^{23}, x_2^{22}x_3, x_3^2, x_3x_4, x_4^2\rangle$. However, with the help of a computer one can check that $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \cap K[x_2,\dots,x_6] = \langle x_2^2, x_3^2, x_3x_4, x_4^2\rangle$.

Even if we consider $\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4\}$ with $m_1 := 5$, $m_2 := 26$, $m_3 = 32$ and $m_4 := 38$, where $h = 4$, $d = 6$ and then $\gcd\{h, d\} = h/2 = 2$. We observe that $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}')) = \langle x_2^{10}, x_2^9x_3, x_3^2\rangle \neq \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \cap K[x_2,\dots,x_5] = \langle x_2^6, x_2^5x_3, x_3^2\rangle$.

In order to describe a minimal Gröbner basis of $I(\mathcal{C})$ we introduce two technical lemmas.

Lemma 3.6. *Take $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \{1,\dots,n-1\}$ such that $m_1 = p(n-1)+s$ and set $\delta := ph+d+h$. Then,*

(a) $\delta m_1 = m_{s+1} + pm_n$,
(b) *if $\delta m_1 = m_j + p'm_n$ with $p' \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \{2,\dots,n\}$, then $j = s+1$ and $p' = p$, and*
(c) $\delta = \min\{b \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid b\, m_1 \in \sum_{i=2}^n \mathbb{N}m_i\}$.

Proof. It is straightforward to check (a) and (b). Let us denote $M := \min\{b \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid b\, m_1 \in \sum_{i=2}^n \mathbb{N}m_i\}$. We observe that h divides M because h divides m_i for all $i \in \{2,\dots,n\}$ and $\gcd\{m_1, h\} = 1$. Hence, $M = hM'$, where $M' = \min\{b \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid b\, m_1 \in \sum_{i=2}^n \mathbb{N}m'_i\}$ and $m'_i = m_i/h$ for all $i \in \{2,\dots,n\}$. Applying Lemma 2.1 to $\{m_1, m'_2,\dots,m_n\}$ we get that $M' = p + d/h + 1$. Then $M = hM' = \delta$ and (c) is proved. □

Following the notation of the previous lemma, we state the next result.

Lemma 3.7. *Set $\beta_j := \min\{b \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid (jh)m_1 + bm_2 \in \sum_{i=3}^n \mathbb{N}m_i\}$, for all $j \in \{0,\dots,\delta'-1\}$, where $\delta' := \delta/h$, then*

(a) *for each $j \in \{0,\dots,\delta'-1\}$, there exist unique $\sigma_j \in \{3,\dots,n\}$ and $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $jhm_1 + \beta_j m_2 = m_{\sigma_j} + \lambda_j m_n$, and*
(b) *setting $\sigma_{\delta'} := s+1$, $\lambda_{\delta'} := p$ and $\beta_{\delta'} := 0$, then, for all $j \in \{1,\dots,\delta'\}$ we have the following:*
  (b.1) *if $\sigma_j \neq n$, then $\sigma_{j-1} = \sigma_j + 1$, $\lambda_{j-1} = \lambda_j$ and $\beta_{j-1} = \beta_j + 1$;*
  (b.2) *if $\sigma_j = n$, then $\sigma_{j-1} = 3$, $\lambda_{j-1} = \lambda_j + 1$ and $\beta_{j-1} = \beta_j + 2$.*

Proof. By definition of β_j we can write

$$jhm_1 + \beta_j m_2 = m_{\sigma_j} + \lambda_j m_n,$$

where $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma_j \in \{3,\dots,n\}$. Suppose now that there exist $\sigma'_j \in \{3,\dots,n\}$ and $\lambda'_j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $jhm_1 + \beta_j m_2 = m_{\sigma'_j} + \lambda'_j m_n$. We assume without loss of generality that $\sigma_j \geq \sigma'_j$. Then, $\lambda'_j \geq \lambda_j$ and we get that $m_{\sigma_j} - m_{\sigma'_j} = (\lambda'_j - \lambda_j)m_n$. Hence $\lambda'_j = \lambda_j$ and $\sigma_j = \sigma'_j$, and get (a).

Let us now prove (b). We first consider the case $\sigma_j \neq n$. Since $jhm_1 + \beta_j m_2 = m_{\sigma_j} + \lambda_j m_n$, then $(j-1)hm_1 + (\beta_j + 1)m_2 = m_{\sigma_j+1} + \lambda_j m_n$, so $\beta_{j-1} \leq \beta_j + 1$. On the other hand, $(j-1)hm_1 + \beta_{j-1}m_2 = m_{\sigma_{j-1}} + \lambda_{j-1}m_n$, which implies $jhm_1 + (\beta_{j-1}-1)m_2 = m_{\sigma_{j-1}-1} + \lambda_{j-1}m_n$ and we derive $\beta_{j-1} - 1 \geq \beta_j$. Thus $\beta_j = \beta_{j-1} - 1$, $\sigma_j = \sigma_j - 1$ and $\lambda_j = \lambda_{j-1}$.

Finally, we consider the case $\sigma_j = n$. From the equalities $jhm_1 + \beta_j m_2 = m_n + \lambda_j m_n$ and $2m_2 = m_3 + hm_1$, we obtain $(j-1)hm_1 + (\beta_j + 2)m_2 = m_3 + (\lambda_j + 1)m_n$ and we deduce that

$\beta_{j-1} \leq \beta_j + 2$. On the other hand, from $(j-1)hm_1 + \beta_{j-1}m_2 = m_{\sigma_{j-1}} + \lambda_{j-1}m_n$, we obtain that

$$jhm_1 + (\beta_{j-1} - 1)m_2 = m_{\sigma_{j-1}-1} + \lambda_{j-1}m_n. \tag{3}$$

If $\sigma_{j-1} = 3$, then $\beta_{j-1} - 2 \geq \beta_j$; and if $\sigma_{j-1} > 3$, $\beta_{j-1} - 1 \geq \beta_j$. So, $\beta_j + 1 \leq \beta_{j-1} \leq \beta_j + 2$. Suppose that $\beta_{j-1} = \beta_j + 1$, from (3) we get $jhm_1 + \beta_j m_2 = m_{\sigma_{j-1}-1} + \lambda_{j-1}m_n$. Then, from (a), $\sigma_j = \sigma_{j-1} - 1$, a contradiction because $\sigma_j = n$. We conclude then that $\beta_{j-1} = \beta_j + 2$, $\sigma_{j-1} = 3$ and $\lambda_{j-1} = \lambda_j + 1$. □

Remark 3.8. Lemma 3.7 gives an algorithmic way of computing the integers β_j, σ_j and λ_j starting from $\beta_{\delta'} = 0, \lambda_{\delta'} = p$ and $\sigma_{\delta'} = s + 1$ where p and s are given by Lemma 3.6. Nevertheless one can easily derive an explicit formula for $\beta_j, \sigma_j, \lambda_j$ for all $j \in \{1 \ldots, \delta'\}$. Indeed, we have that $\beta_{\delta'-j} = j + \lfloor (j+s-2)/(n-2) \rfloor$, $\sigma_{\delta'-j}$ is the only integer in $\{3, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\sigma_{\delta'-j} \equiv s + 1 + j \pmod{n-2}$, and $\lambda_{\delta'-j} = p + \lfloor (j+s-2)/(n-2) \rfloor$.

Remark 3.9. Notice that $\beta_0 = \min\{b \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid bm_2 \in \sum_{i=3}^n \mathbb{N}m_i\}$, so, applying Lemma 2.1 to the sequence of relatively prime integers $m_2/h < \cdots < m_n/h$, we get that $\beta_0 = \alpha + 1$, where $\alpha = \lfloor (m_n - h)/(nh - 2h) \rfloor$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{C}'$ denotes the projective monomial curve associated to the arithmetic sequence $m_2 < \cdots < m_n$, we have that $\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}'])$ equals β_0 if $\sigma_0 \neq 3$, or $\beta_0 - 1$ if $\sigma_0 = 3$.

Following the notation of Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7, we can describe a minimal Gröbner basis of $I(\mathcal{C})$ with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset K[x_2, \ldots, x_n, x_{n+1}]$ be a minimal Gröbner basis with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order of $I(\mathcal{C}')$, where $\mathcal{C}'$ is the projective monomial curve associated to the arithmetic sequence $m_2 < \cdots < m_n$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} \;:=\; \mathcal{G}_1 \;&\cup\; \{x_1^h x_i - x_2 x_{i-1} x_{n+1}^{h-1} \mid 3 \leq i \leq n\} \\ &\cup\; \{x_1^{jh} x_2^{\beta_j} - x_{\sigma_j} x_n^{\lambda_j} x_{n+1}^{j(h-1)+(d/h)} \mid 1 \leq j \leq \delta/h\}, \end{aligned}$$

is a minimal Gröbner basis of $I(\mathcal{C})$ with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order. Moreover, $\mathcal{G}$ is a minimal set of generators of $I(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof. We set $h_i := x_1^h x_i - x_2 x_{i-1} x_{n+1}^{h-1} \in I(\mathcal{C})$ for all $i \in \{3, \ldots, n\}$ and $p_j := x_1^{jh} x_2^{\beta_j} - x_{\sigma_j} x_n^{\lambda_j} x_{n+1}^{j(h-1)+(d/h)}$ for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, \delta/h\}$. By Lemma 3.7, we have that $p_j \in I(\mathcal{C})$. Moreover, since $I(\mathcal{C}') \subset I(\mathcal{C})$, we clearly have that $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset I(\mathcal{C})$.

Let us prove now that $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \subset \mathrm{in}(\mathcal{G})$, where $\mathrm{in}(\mathcal{G})$ is the ideal generated by the initial terms of binomials in $\mathcal{G}$ with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order. We consider a binomial $f = x^\lambda - x^\epsilon \in I(\mathcal{C})$ with $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n+1}), \epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$, $\gcd\{x^\lambda, x^\epsilon\} = 1$ and $\mathrm{in}(f) = x^\lambda$. We consider different cases:

Case 1: If $\lambda_1 = 0$, then $\mathrm{in}(f) \in \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \cap k[x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1}]$ and, by Proposition 3.4, we get that $\mathrm{in}(f) \in \mathrm{in}(\mathcal{G}_1) \subset \mathrm{in}(\mathcal{G})$.

Case 2: If $\lambda_1 > 0$, then h divides λ_1 because $\lambda_1 m_1 = \sum_{i=2}^n (\epsilon_i - \lambda_i) m_i$, h divides m_i for all $i \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$ and $\gcd\{m_1, h\} = 1$. If there exists $i \in \{3, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\lambda_i > 0$, then $\mathrm{in}(h_i)$ divides x^λ and we deduce that $x^\lambda \in \mathrm{in}(\mathcal{G})$. So, it only remains to consider when $f = x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} - x_2^{\epsilon_2} \cdots x_{n+1}^{\epsilon_{n+1}}$. If $\lambda_1 \geq \delta$, then $\mathrm{in}(p_{\delta/h})$ divides x^λ by Lemma 3.6. If $\lambda_1 < \delta$, then $\lambda_1 m_1 + \lambda_2 m_2 = \epsilon_3 m_3 + \cdots + \epsilon_n m_n$ and, taking $j := (\delta - \lambda_1)/h$ we have that $\delta_2 \geq \beta_j$ by the definition of β_j. Thus $\mathrm{in}(p_j)$ divides $\mathrm{in}(f)$ and the proof is complete.

To prove that $\mathcal{G}$ is a minimal set of generators, it suffices to prove it when $\mathcal{G}_1$ is the Gröbner basis of $I(\mathcal{C}')$ described in Theorem 2.2. By Remark 2.4, $\mathcal{G}_1$ is a minimal set of generators of $I(\mathcal{C}')$. Since all the elements of degree 2 in $\mathcal{G}$ belong to $\mathcal{G}_1$ it follows that the elements of degree 2 are not redundant. Let us take $f \in \mathcal{G}$ of degree ≥ 3, if $f = h_i$ or $f = p_i$, then $\mathrm{in}(f)$ is not divided by any other of the monomials appearing in the elements of $\mathcal{G} \setminus \{f\}$ and, hence, f is not

redundant. Assume now that $\mathrm{in}(f) = x_2^{\alpha} x_i$ and

$$f = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \{f\}} h_g \, g, \tag{4}$$

where $h_g = 0$ or $\deg(h_g) = \deg(f) - \deg(g)$. We consider the homomorphism τ induced by $x_1 \mapsto 0$, $x_{n+1} \mapsto 0$ and $x_i \mapsto x_i$ for all $i \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$. Applying τ to (4), we derive that there exists a linear form l such that $x_2^{\alpha} l \in L$, where L is the binomial prime ideal $\langle x_j x_k - x_{j-1} x_{k+1} \,|\, 3 \leq j < k \leq n-1 \rangle$. Hence $l \in L$, a contradiction. Thus, $\mathcal{G}$ is a minimal set of generators of $I(\mathcal{C})$. □

Remark 3.11. Putting together Theorems 2.2 and 3.10, we obtain a minimal Gröbner basis of $I(\mathcal{C})$ with respect to the the degree reverse lexicographic order. Observe that if we consider $h = 1$ in this basis, the set $\mathcal{G}$ obtained is not a minimal Gröbner basis of $I(\mathcal{C})$.

Remark 3.12. If we remove the assumption that h divides d, in general, a minimal Gröbner basis of $I(\mathcal{C})$ with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order may not be a minimal system of generators of $I(\mathcal{C})$. Indeed, if we consider the first example in Remark 3.5, one has that a minimal Gröbner basis of $I(\mathcal{C})$ has 9 elements, whereas the first Betti number is 8.

In the next result, we exploit the description of the minimal Gröbner basis of $I(\mathcal{C})$ obtained in Theorem 3.10 to get the irreducible decomposition of $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$.

Proposition 3.13. *Let δ and β_j be the as in Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 and let $\{\mathfrak{q}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the irreducible components of $I(\mathcal{C}')$. Then,*

$$\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) = \bigcap_{i \in I} \langle x_1^h, \mathfrak{q}_i \rangle \cap \left[\bigcap_{j=2}^{\delta/h} \langle x_1^{jh}, x_2^{\beta_{j-1}}, x_3, \ldots, x_n \rangle \right].$$

is the irredundant irreducible decomposition of $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$.

Proof. We set $A_i = \langle x_1^h, \mathfrak{q}_i \rangle$ and $B_j := \langle x_i^{jh}, x_2^{\beta_{j-1}}, x_3, \ldots, x_n \rangle$. From Theorem 3.10 we obtain that

$$\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \;=\; \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}')) + \langle x_1^h x_i \,|\, 3 \leq i \leq n \rangle + \langle x_1^{jh} x_2^{\beta_j} \,|\, 1 \leq j \leq \delta/h \rangle$$

is a minimal system of generators of $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$ with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order with $x_1 > \cdots > x_{n+1}$. Then, it is easy to check that $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \subset A_i, B_j$, for all $i \in I$ and for all $j \in \{2, \ldots, \delta/h\}$. In order to see the reverse inclusion, we consider $l \notin \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$, we distinguish two possibilities:

(a) $l = x_1^a x_i x_{n+1}^c$ with $0 \leq a < h$, $i \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$ and $c \geq 0$, or
(b) $l = x_1^a x_2^b x_{n+1}^c$ with $h \leq jh \leq a < \delta$, $b < \beta_j$ and $c \geq 0$.

In (a), setting $l' = x_i x_{n+1}^c$ we have that $l' \notin \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}'))$ and, as a consequence, $l' \notin \mathfrak{q}_i$ for some $i \in I$, thus $l \notin A_i$. If (b) holds, then $l \notin B_{j+1}$.

It only remains to show that there is no redundant ideal in the intersection. The same argument as in Theorem 2.5 applies here to derive that A_i is not redundant for all $i \in I$. Finally, B_j is no redundant for $j \in \{2, \ldots, \delta/h\}$ because $x_1^{jh-1} x_2^{\beta_{j-1}-1} \notin \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$, but $x_1^{jh-1} \in B_k$ for all $k < j$ and $x_2^{\beta_{j-1}-1} \in B_k$ for all $k > j$. □

Once we have the irreducible decomposition of $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$ we can obtain the value of the regularity.

Theorem 3.14. *Let $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ be a generalized arithmetic sequence with* $\gcd\{m_1, d\} = 1$, *$h > 1$ and h divides d. Set $\delta := \lfloor (m_1 - 1)/(n-1) \rfloor h + d + h$, then*

$$\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}]) = \begin{cases} \delta - 1 & \text{if } n-1 \text{ does not divide } m_1, \\ \delta & \text{if } n-1 \text{ divides } m_1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Observe that, by Proposition 3.13, we have

$$\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) = \bigcap_{i\in I}\langle x_1^h, \mathfrak{q}_i\rangle \cap \left[\bigcap_{j=2}^{\delta/h}\langle x_1^{jh}, x_2^{\beta_{j-1}}, x_3, \ldots, x_n\rangle\right],$$

where $\{\mathfrak{q}_i\}_{i\in I}$ are the irreducible components of $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}'))$. A direct application of Lemma 2.6 yields

$$\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}]) = \max\{h-1+\mathrm{reg}\,(K[\mathcal{C}']), \max_{j\in\{2,\ldots,\delta/h\}}\{jh+\beta_{j-1}-2\}\}.$$

Moreover, it is clear that $\max_{j\in\{2,\ldots,\delta/h\}}\{jh+\beta_{j-1}-2\} = \delta+\beta_{\delta/h-1}-2$ since $h\geq 2$ and $\beta_{j-1}-\beta_j \leq 2$ for all $j\in\{2,\ldots,\delta/h\}$. Thus we get that $\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}]) = \max\{h-1+\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}']), \delta+\beta_{\delta/h-1}-2\}$. Our objective is to prove that this maximum equals $\delta+\beta_{\delta/h-1}-2$ or, equivalently, that

$$\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}']) - \beta_{(\delta/h)-1} \leq \delta-h-1. \tag{5}$$

In the proof we use the following three facts:

(i) By Theorem 2.7 and Remark 3.9, we have that $\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}'])\in\{\beta_0-1,\beta_0\}$.

(ii) By Lemma 3.7, we have that

$$\beta_0-\beta_{(\delta/h)-1} = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{(\delta/h)-1}(\beta_{i-1}-\beta_i) \leq 2((\delta/h)-1) = 2(\delta/h)-2.$$

(iii) Since h divides δ and $m_1\notin\sum_{i=2}^n \mathbb{N}m_i$, from Lemma 3.6(c) we have that $\delta\geq 2h$.

We divide the proof in four cases:

(a) $h\geq 3$,
(b) $\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}']) = \beta_0-1$,
(c) $\beta_0-\beta_{(\delta/h)-1}\leq 2(\delta/h)-3$, and
(d) $h=2$, $\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}'])=\beta_0$ and $\beta_0-\beta_{(\delta/h)-1}=2(\delta/h)-2$.

If (a) holds, then by (iii) we have that $h(h-1)\leq 2h(h-2)\leq(h-2)\delta$, then $2(\delta/h)-2\leq\delta-h-1$. Therefore, by (i) and (ii), we get that $\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}'])-\beta_{(\delta/h)-1}\leq\beta_0-\beta_{(\delta/h)-1}\leq 2(\delta/h)-2\leq\delta-h-1$, and we conclude (5). If (b) or (c) holds, then $2(\delta/h)-2\leq\delta-h$. Therefore, by (i) and (ii), in both cases we get that $\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}'])-\beta_{(\delta/h)-1}\leq 2(\delta/h)-3\leq\delta-h-1$, and we conclude (5). Let us prove that (d) is not possible. By contradiction, assume that (d) holds. Since $\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}'])=\beta_0$, by Theorem 2.7 we get that $n\geq 4$. We claim that $\delta=4$. Indeed, setting $\Delta_i := \beta_{i-1}-\beta_i$ for all $i\in\{1,\ldots,(\delta/2)-1\}$ we observe that $\Delta_i\in\{1,2\}$ and that $\sum_{i=1}^{(\delta/2)-1}\Delta_i = \beta_0-\beta_{(\delta/2)-1} = 2(\delta/2)-2$, so $\Delta_i=2$ for all $i\in\{1,\ldots,(\delta/2)-1\}$. Nevertheless $n\geq 4$, hence from Lemma 3.7 we have that two consecutive values of Δ_i cannot be both 2, so we conclude that $\delta=4$ and $\beta_0-\beta_1=2$, so $\beta_0=3$ and $\sigma_0=3$. However, this implies that $\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}'])=\beta_0-1$ (see Remark 3.9), a contradiction.

To conclude the result it suffices to observe that, by Lemma 3.7, $\beta_{(\delta/h)-1}\in\{1,2\}$ and that it is 2 if and only if $n-1$ divides m_1

□

In the following result we make use of a result of [4] to prove that in this setting, the regularity is always attained at the last step a minimal graded free resolution of $K[\mathcal{C}]$.

Corollary 3.15. $\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}])$ *is attained at the last step of a minimal graded free resolution of* $K[\mathcal{C}]$.

Proof. Let F be the set of $\gamma=(\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_{n-1},0,0)\in\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ such that $x^\gamma\in\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))|_{x_n=x_{n+1}=1}$ and $x^\gamma\notin\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))|_{x_n=x_{n+1}=0}$. According to [4, Corollary 2.11], a sufficient condition for the regularity to be attained at the last step of the resolution is that $\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}])=\max\{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\gamma_i \mid \gamma\in F\}$. From Theorem 3.10, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))|_{x_n=x_{n+1}=1} &= \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}'))|_{x_n=x_{n+1}=1} + \langle x_1^h\rangle,\\
\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))|_{x_n=x_{n+1}=0} &= \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}'))|_{x_n=x_{n+1}=0} + \langle\{x_1^h x_i \mid 3\leq i\leq n-1\}\rangle +\\
&\quad \langle\{x_1^{ih}x_2^{\beta_i} \mid 1\leq i\leq\delta/h\}\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{C}'$ is the projective monomial curve associated to the arithmetic sequence $\{m_2, \ldots, m_n\}$. From Theorem 2.2, we have that $\mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}'))|_{x_n=x_{n+1}=1} = \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}'))|_{x_n=x_{n+1}=0}$; and then we obtain that $F = \{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, 0, \ldots, 0) \mid jh \leq \gamma_1 < (j+1)h,\ 0 \leq \gamma_2 < \beta_j, \text{ for all } j \in \{1, \ldots, \delta/h - 1\}\}$. Since $h \geq 2$ and $\beta_j \leq \beta_{j+1} + 2$ we conclude that $\max\{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \gamma_i \mid \gamma \in F\} = \delta + \beta_{\delta/h-1} - 2$, which equals $\mathrm{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}])$, from where the result follows.

□

In the last part of this section we provide formulas for the Hilbert series and the Hilbert function of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ in this setting.

Theorem 3.16. *The Hilbert series of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is*

$$\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t) = (1 + \cdots + t^{h-1})\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}']}(t) + \frac{\sum_{j=h}^{\delta-1} t^j - \left(\sum_{j=0}^{h-1} t^j\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{(\delta/h)-1} t^{ih+\beta_i}\right)}{(1-t)^2},$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}']}(t)$ is the Hilbert series of $K[\mathcal{C}']$ with $\mathcal{C}'$ is the projective monomial curve associated to the arithmetic sequence $m_2 < \cdots < m_n$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.8, setting $J := \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(1-t)^2\,\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t) &= \textstyle\sum_{x^\gamma \notin J + \langle x_n, x_{n+1}\rangle} t^{|\gamma|} - t \sum_{\substack{x^\gamma \notin J + \langle x_{n+1}\rangle \\ x_n x^\gamma \in J}} t^{|\gamma|} \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{\substack{x^\gamma \notin J + \langle x_n, x_{n+1}\rangle \\ \gamma_1 < h}} t^{|\gamma|} + S,\end{aligned}$$

where $S := \sum_{\substack{x^\gamma \notin J + \langle x_n, x_{n+1}\rangle \\ \gamma_1 \geq h}} t^{|\gamma|} - t \sum_{\substack{x^\gamma \notin J + \langle x_{n+1}\rangle \\ x_n x^\gamma \in J}} t^{|\gamma|}$. We observe in Theorem 3.10 that $x_1^h x_n$ is the unique minimal generator of J where x_n appears, so for all $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}$ we have that

(a) $x^\lambda \notin \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$ with $\lambda_1 < h$ if and only if $x_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots x_{n+1}^{\lambda_{n+1}} \notin \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}'))$,
(b) if $x^\lambda \notin \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$, then $x_n x^\lambda \in \mathrm{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$ if and only if $\lambda_1 \geq h$.

From (a) we derive that

$$(1 + t + \cdots + t^{h-1})\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}']}(t) = \frac{\sum_{\substack{x^\gamma \notin J + \langle x_n, x_{n+1}\rangle \\ \gamma_1 < h}} t^{|\gamma|}}{(1-t)^2}.$$

From (b) we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}S &= (1-t)\textstyle\sum_{\substack{x^\gamma \notin J + \langle x_{n+1}\rangle, \\ \gamma_1 \geq h}} t^{|\gamma|} \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{j=h}^{\delta-1} t^j - \left(\sum_{j=0}^{h-1} t^j\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\delta/h-1} t^{ih+\beta_i}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is directly deduced from Theorem 3.10 and we are done.

□

As a direct consequence of the previous result, we obtain a simpler expression of the Hilbert series of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ when $n = 3$.

Corollary 3.17. *If $n = 3$, the Hilbert series of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is $\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t) = \frac{h(t)}{(1-t)^2}$, where*

(1) $h(t) = 1 + 2t + \sum_{j=2}^{h} 3t^j + t^{h+1} - t^{2h}$, *if $\delta = 2h$ and m_1 is odd,*
(2) $h(t) = 1 + 2t + 3t^2 + \sum_{j=3}^{h} 4t^j + 3t^{h+1} + t^{h+2} - t^{2h} - t^{2h+1}$, *if $\delta = 2h$ and m_1 is even,*
(3) $h(t) = 1 + 2t + \sum_{j=2}^{(\delta-4)/2} 3t^j - ((\delta-6)/2)t^{\delta-1} - ((\delta-2)/2)t^\delta$, *if $\delta > 4$ and $h = 2$,*
(4) $h(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{h-1}(j+1)t^j + \sum_{j=h}^{(m_3/h)-1}(h+1)t^j + \sum_{j=0}^{h-3}(h-j)t^{(m_3/h)+j} + t^{(m_3/h)+h-2}$
$- \sum_{j=2}^{(\delta/h)-1}(t^{jh+\beta_j} + t^{jh+\beta_j+1}) - t^\delta$, *if $\delta > 2h$, $h \geq 3$ and m_1 is odd,*
(5) $h(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{h-1}(j+1)t^j + \sum_{j=h}^{(m_3/h)-1}(h+1)t^j + \sum_{j=0}^{h-3}(h-j)t^{(m_3/h)+j} + t^{(m_3/h)+h-2}$
$- \sum_{j=2}^{\delta/h}(t^{jh+\beta_j} + t^{jh+\beta_j+1})$, *if $\delta > 2h$, $h \geq 3$ and m_1 is even.*

Theorem 3.18. *The Hilbert function of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is*

$$HF_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} HF_{K[\mathcal{C}']}(s-i) + (n-3)\Delta_s + \Delta_{s+1},$$

where $\Delta_s := \#\{(a,b) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \,|\, a+b < s \text{ and } b < \beta_j, \text{ with } j := \lfloor a/h \rfloor \geq 1\}$, $\mathcal{C}'$ is the projective monomial curve associated to the arithmetic sequence $m_2 < \cdots < m_n$ and $HF_{K[\mathcal{C}']}(a) := 0$ whenever $a < 0$.

Proof. The Hilbert function of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ coincides with that of $R/\text{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))$. Thus $HF_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(s) = \#\{x^\gamma \notin \text{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \,|\, \deg(x^\gamma) = s\}$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} HF_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(s) &= \#\{x^\gamma \notin \text{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \,|\, \deg(x^\gamma) = s,\, \gamma_1 < h\} \\ &+ \#\{x^\gamma \notin \text{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \,|\, \deg(x^\gamma) = s,\, \gamma_1 \geq h\}. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 3.10, we easily deduce that $\{x^\gamma \notin \text{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \,|\, \deg(x^\gamma) = s,\, \gamma_1 < h\} = \{x_1^{\gamma_1}x^{\gamma'} \notin \text{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \,|\, \gamma' = (0,\gamma_2,\ldots,\gamma_{n+1}), \deg(x^{\gamma'}) + \gamma_1 = s, \text{ and } \gamma_1 < h\} = \{x^{\gamma'} \notin \text{in}(I(\mathcal{C}')) \,|\, s-h+1 \leq \deg(x^{\gamma'}) \leq s,\, \gamma_1' = 0\}$. Thus

$$HF_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} HF_{K[\mathcal{C}']}(s-i) + \#\{x^\gamma \notin \text{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \,|\, \deg(x^\gamma) = s,\, \gamma_1 \geq h\}.$$

Moreover, from Theorem 3.10 we get that $\#\{x^\gamma \notin \text{in}(I(\mathcal{C})) \,|\, \deg(x^\gamma) = s,\, \gamma_1 \geq h\} = \#\{x_1^{\gamma_1}x_2^{\gamma_2}x_i^{\epsilon_i}x_{n+1}^{\gamma_{n+1}} \notin \text{in}(I(\mathcal{C}))) \,|\, \gamma_1+\gamma_2+\epsilon_i+\gamma_{n+1} = s, \gamma_1 \geq h, \epsilon_i \in \{0,1\} \text{ and } i \in \{3,\ldots,n-1\}\} = (n-3)\Delta_s + \Delta_{s+1}$, which completes the proof. □

Moreover, we can also describe the Hilbert polynomial $P_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(s)$ of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ in terms of the Hilbert polynomial $P_{K[\mathcal{C}']}(s) = (m_n/h)s + \gamma$ of $K[\mathcal{C}']$ described in Theorem 2.11 (see also Remark 2.12).

Corollary 3.19. *The Hilbert polynomial of $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is*

$$P_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(s) = m_n s + m_n\frac{h-1}{2} + h\gamma + (n-2)h\sum_{i=0}^{\delta/h-1}\beta_i.$$

Proof. We set $\Delta := h\sum_{i=0}^{\delta/h-1}\beta_i$. To get the result it suffices to observe that $\Delta_s = \Delta$ for all $s >> 0$ and that $P_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} P_{K[\mathcal{C}']}(s-i) + \Delta$ by Theorem 3.18. □

Let us illustrate the results of this section with an example.

Example 3.20. Consider the set $\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5, m_6\}$ with $m_1 := 7$, $m_2 := 30, m_3 := 39, m_4 := 48$, $m_5 := 57$ and $m_6 := 66$. We observe that $m_1, \ldots, m_6$ are relatively prime, $h = 3$ and $d = 9$, so h divides d. We compute $\delta = \lfloor (7-1)/(6-1) \rfloor 3 + 9 + 3 = 15$ and observe that $n-1$ does not divide m_1. Then, by Theorem 3.14, we get that $\text{reg}(K[\mathcal{C}]) = 14$.

Moreover, from the expression $m_1 = 1 \cdot 5 + 2$, we get that $p = 1$ and $s = 2$. Following remark 3.8 we get that $\beta_1 = 4 + \lfloor (4+2-2)/4 \rfloor = 5$, $\beta_2 = 3 + \lfloor (3+2-2)/4 \rfloor = 3$, $\beta_3 = 2 + \lfloor (2+2-2)/4 \rfloor = 2$ and $\beta_4 = 1 + \lfloor (1+2-2)/4 \rfloor = 1$. By Theorem 3.16 we obtain that

$$\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t) = (1+t+t^2)\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}']}(t) + \frac{\sum_{j=3}^{14} t^j - (1+t+t^2)(t^8+t^9+t^{11}+t^{13})}{(1-t)^2}.$$

We observe that the sequence $10 < 13 < 16 < 19 < 22$ defines the same projective monomial curve as the sequence $30 < 39 < 48 < 57 < 66$. Then, from Example 2.15 we get that $\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}']}(t) = \frac{1+\sum_{i=1}^{5} 4t^i + t^6}{(1-t)^2}$. Hence, we conclude that $\mathcal{H}_{K[\mathcal{C}]}(t)$ equals

$$\frac{1+5t+9t^2+13(t^3+t^4+t^5)+10t^6+6t^7+t^8-t^9-t^{10}-t^{11}-t^{13}-t^{15}}{(1-t)^2}.$$

4. KOSZULNESS

In this section we characterize when $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is a Koszul algebra when $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ is a generalized arithmetic sequence (Theorem 4.1), when $n = 3$ (Theorem 4.3) and when $n = 4$ (Theorem 4.4).

We recall (see, e.g., [8]) that the following well known implications (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) hold:

(i) $I(\mathcal{C})$ possesses a quadratic Gröbner basis
(ii) $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Koszul
(iii) $I(\mathcal{C})$ is generated by quadrics.

These implications will be frequently used in this section.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ be a generalized arithmetic sequence of relatively prime integers. Then, $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is a Koszul algebra if and only if $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ are consecutive numbers and $n > m_1$.*

For proving this result we make use of the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Take $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$; if $I(\mathcal{C})$ is generated by quadrics, then $2m_i = m_j + \mu m_l$, where $j, l \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ and $\mu \in \{0, 1\}$.*

Proof. Set $w \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ the minimum integer such that $f := x_i^w - \prod_{\substack{j \in \{1,\ldots,n+1\} \\ j \neq i}} x_j^{\alpha_j} \in I(\mathcal{C})$ for some $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N}$. It turns out that there exists a minimal set of generators of $I(\mathcal{C})$ containing f (see, e.g., [2, Lemma 3.1.(b)]); hence, f is a quadric. This implies that $f = x_i^2 - x_j x_l$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus \{i\}, l \in \{1, \ldots, n+1\} \setminus \{i\}$. Thus we conclude that $2m_i = m_j$ if $k = n + 1$, or $2m_i = m_j + m_l$ otherwise.

□

Proof of Theorem 4.1. ($\Rightarrow$) Since $I(\mathcal{C})$ is generated by quadrics, by Lemma 4.2 we get that $2m_1 > m_2$ and, thus, $h = 1$. Moreover, if $n \leq m_1$, then $2m_1 \neq m_j$ for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $2m_1 \neq m_j + m_l$ for all $j, l \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$, then again by Lemma 4.2 we derive that $n > m_1$.

($\Leftarrow$) We observe that $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$ is an arithmetic sequence, $d = 1$, and that $\alpha = \lceil m_1/(n-1) \rceil + d - 1 = 1$. As a consequence, the Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ in Theorem 2.2 only consists of quadrics and we conclude that $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is a Koszul algebra. □

In the rest of this section we aim at listing all projective monomial curves $\mathcal{C}$ such that its corresponding algebra $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Koszul when $n = 3$ (Theorem 4.3) and $n = 4$ (Theorem 4.4).

Theorem 4.3. *If $n = 3$ and $\gcd\{m_1, m_2, m_3\} = 1$; then, $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is a Koszul algebra if and only if $\{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$ is $\{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}$ or $\{2, 3, 4\}$.*

Theorem 4.4. *If $n = 4$ and $\gcd\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4\} = 1$; then, $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is a Koszul algebra if and only if $\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4\}$ is one of the following sequences*

$$\{1,2,3,4\}, \{1,2,3,5\}, \{1,2,3,6\}, \{1,2,4,6\}, \{1,2,4,8\}, \{2,3,4,5\}, \{2,3,4,6\},$$
$$\{2,3,4,8\}, \{2,4,5,6\}, \{2,4,5,8\}, \{3,4,5,6\}, \{3,4,6,8\}, \{4,5,6,8\} \text{ or } \{4,6,7,8\}.$$

To obtain these results we first introduce two lemmas concerning a new projective curve $\mathcal{C}_m$, defined for $m < m_n$. More precisely, $\mathcal{C}_m$ is the projective monomial curve in $\mathbb{P}_K^{n+1}$ parametrically defined by

$$x_1 = s^{m_1} t^{m_n - m_1}, \ldots, x_{n-1} = s^{m_{n-1}} t^{m_n - m_{n-1}}, x_n = s^{m_n}, x_{n+1} = t^{m_n}, y = s^m t^{m_n - m},$$

we denote by $I(\mathcal{C}_m) \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}, y]$ the vanishing ideal of $\mathcal{C}_m$. We denote by B_m the integer $\gcd\{m_1, \ldots, m_n\} / \gcd\{m_1, \ldots, m_n, m\}$.

Lemma 4.5. *Take $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and set $m_i := 2^{i-1} d$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$; then, $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is a Koszul algebra. Moreover, if $B_m = 2$ and $2m = m_i + m_j$ for some $i, j \in \{1 \ldots, n\}$, then $K[\mathcal{C}_m]$ is also a Koszul algebra.*

Proof. We have that $m_i/d = 2^{i-1}$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Thus, by [2, Theorem 5.3 and Corollary 5.8], $I(\mathcal{C})$ is a complete intersection and it is generated by quadrics, which implies that $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Koszul (see, e.g., [8, Corollary 8 and Theorem 10]).

We observe that $B_m(m, m_n - m) = 2(m, m_n - m) = (m_i, m_n - m_i) + (m_j, m_n - m_j)$. Therefore, by [2, Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.3], we get that $I(\mathcal{C}_m) = I(\mathcal{C}) + \langle y^2 - x_i x_j \rangle$ and $I(\mathcal{C}_m)$ is also a complete intersection generated by quadrics, then $K[\mathcal{C}_m]$ is a Koszul algebra. □

Lemma 4.6. *We set $d := \gcd\{m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$. If $m_1/d, \ldots, m_n/d$ are consecutive numbers, $n > m_1$, $B_m = 2$ and $2m = m_i + \mu m_j$ for some $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\mu \in \{0, 1\}$, then $K[\mathcal{C}_m]$ is a Koszul algebra.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.1 and its proof, the Gröbner basis with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order $\mathcal{G}$ provided by Theorem 2.2 consists of quadrics. Moreover, we observe that $B_m(m, m_n - m) = 2(m, m_n - m) = (m_i, m_n - m_i) + (m_j, m_n - m_j)$ if $\mu = 1$ or $B_m(m, m_n - m) = 2(m, m_n - m) = (m_i, m_n - m_i) + (0, m_n)$ if $\mu = 0$; thus by [2, Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.3] we get that $I(\mathcal{C}_m) = I(\mathcal{C}) + \langle y^2 - x_i x_l \rangle$, where $l = j$ if $\mu = 1$ or $l = n + 1$ if $\mu = 0$. Let us prove that $\mathcal{G}_m := \mathcal{G} \cup \{y^2 - x_i x_l\}$ is a Gröbner basis for $I(\mathcal{C}_m)$ with respect to the monomial ordering $>_y$ in $K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}, y]$ given by:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^{\alpha_i} y^{\alpha} >_y \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^{\beta_i} y^{\beta} \iff \begin{cases} \alpha > \beta, \text{ or} \\ \alpha = \beta \text{ and } \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^{\alpha_i} >_{dp} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^{\beta_i}, \end{cases}$$

where $>_{dp}$ is the degree reverse lexicographic order in $K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}]$. Indeed we take $f = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^{\alpha_i} y^{\alpha} - \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^{\beta_i} y^{\beta} \in I(\mathcal{C}_m)$, where $\alpha_i, \alpha, \beta_i, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $\mathrm{in}_{>_y}(f) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^{\alpha_i} y^{\alpha}$, we consider three different cases.

Case 1: If $\alpha = 0$, then $\beta = 0$ and $f = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^{\alpha_i} - \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^{\beta_i} \in I(\mathcal{C})$. Then there exist $g \in \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}_m$ such that $\mathrm{in}_{>_y}(g) = \mathrm{in}_{>_{gr}}(g) \mid \mathrm{in}_{>_y}(f)$.

Case 2: If $\alpha = 1$, then $\beta \in \{0, 1\}$. If $\beta = 1$, then $f/y = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^{\alpha_i} - \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^{\beta_i} \in I(\mathcal{C})$. Thus there exists $g \in \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}_m$ such that $\mathrm{in}_{>_y}(g) = \mathrm{in}_{>_{dp}}(g) \mid \mathrm{in}_{>_{dp}}(f/y) \mid \mathrm{in}_{>_y}(f)$. If $\beta = 0$, then $f = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^{\alpha_i} y - \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^{\beta_i} \in I(\mathcal{C}_m)$, and it follows that

$$\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i (m_i, m_n - m_i) + (m, m_n - m) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \beta_i (m_i, m_n - m_i),$$

and then $m \in \sum_{i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}} \mathbb{Z} m_i$, which implies $B_m = 1$, a contradiction.

Case 3: If $\alpha \geq 2$, then $\mathrm{in}_{>_y}(y^2 - x_i x_j) = y^2 \mid \mathrm{in}_{>_y}(f)$.

Thus $\mathcal{G}_m = \mathcal{G} \cup \{y^2 - x_i x_j\}$ is a quadratic Gröbner basis of $I(\mathcal{C}_m)$ with respect to $>_y$; therefore $K[\mathcal{C}_m]$ is a Koszul algebra.

□

Proof of Theorem 4.3. ($\Rightarrow$) Since $I(\mathcal{C})$ is generated by quadrics, by Lemma 4.2 we get that either $2m_1 \in \{m_2, m_3\}$ and that $2m_2 \in \{m_3, m_1 + m_3\}$. Since $\gcd\{m_1, m_2, m_3\} = 1$ we easily derive that $\{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$ equals $\{1, 2, 4\}$, $\{1, 2, 3\}$ or $\{2, 3, 4\}$.
($\Leftarrow$) For $\{m_1, m_2, m_3\} = \{1, 2, 4\}$ then $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Koszul by Lemma 4.5. If $\{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$ equals $\{1, 2, 3\}$ or $\{2, 3, 4\}$, we conclude that $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Koszul by Theorem 4.1.

□

Proof of Theorem 4.4. ($\Rightarrow$) Since $I(\mathcal{C})$ is generated by quadrics, by Lemma 4.2, we have that $2m_1 \in \{m_2, m_3, m_4\}$, $2m_2 \in \{m_3, m_4, m_1 + m_3, m_1 + m_4\}$ and that $2m_3 \in \{m_4, m_1 + m_4, m_2 + m_4\}$. A case by case analysis of all the possible values of $2m_1, 2m_2$ and $2m_3$ together with the fact that $\gcd\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4\} = 1$ proves this implication.
($\Leftarrow$) For $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $\{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $\{3, 4, 5, 6\}$, we get that $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is a Koszul algebra by Theorem 4.1. By Lemma 4.5, we get that $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Koszul for the sets $\{1, 2, 4, 8\}$, $\{2, 4, 5, 8\}$ and $\{2, 3, 4, 8\}$. Moreover, for $\{1, 2, 4, 6\}$, $\{2, 4, 5, 6\}$, $\{2, 3, 4, 6\}$, $\{3, 4, 6, 8\}$, $\{4, 5, 6, 8\}$ and $\{4, 6, 7, 8\}$ we prove the implication applying Lemma 4.6. Finally, for $\{1, 2, 3, 6\}$ and $\{1, 2, 3, 5\}$, a direct computation

yields that the Gröbner basis of $I(\mathcal{C})$ with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order is formed by quadrics, so $K[\mathcal{C}]$ is Koszul as well.

□

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors were partially supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Spain (MTM2010-20279-C02-02, MTM2013-40775-P). The second author was also partially supported by CajaCanarias.

REFERENCES

[1] D. Bayer, D. Mumford, What can be computed in algebraic geometry? *Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra (Cortona 1991)*, 1–48, *Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge*, 1993.
[2] I. Bermejo, I. García-Marco, Complete intersections in simplicial toric varieties. *J. Symbolic Comput.* **68** (2015), part 1, 265–286.
[3] I. Bermejo, I. García-Marco, Complete intersections in certain affine and projective monomial curves, Bull Braz Math Soc, New Series **45(4)**, 2014, 1-26.
[4] I. Bermejo, P. Gimenez, On Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of projective curves. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), no. 5, 1293–1299.
[5] I. Bermejo, P. Gimenez, Computing the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of some subeschemes of $\mathbb{P}^n_K$ using quotients of monomial ideals. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **164** (2001), 23–33.
[6] I. Bermejo, P. Gimenez, Saturation and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity. *J. Algebra* **303** (2006), 592–617.
[7] M.P. Cavaliere, G. Niesi, On monomial curves and Cohen-Macaulay type. *Manuscripta Math.* **42** (1983), 147–159.
[8] A. Conca, E. De Negri, M.E. Rossi, Koszul algebras and regularity. *Commutative algebra*, 285–315, *Springer, New York*, 2013.
[9] D. Eisenbud, S. Goto, Linear free resolutions and minimal multiplicities. *J. Algebra* **88** (1984), 84–133.
[10] P. Li, D.E. Patil, L.G. Roberts, Bases and ideal generators for projective monomial curves. *Comm. Algebra* **40** (2012),no. 1,173–191
[11] S. Molinelli, G. Tamone, On the Hilbert function of certain rings of monomial curves. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **101** (1995), no. 2, 191–206
[12] B. Sturmfels, Gröbner bases and convex polytopes. University Lecture Series **8**. *American Mathematical Society, Providence*, 1996.
[13] R.H. Villarreal, Monomial Algebras, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics **238**. *Marcel Dekker, New York*, 2001.
[14] R.H. Villarreal, Monomial Algebras, Second Edition, Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics. *Chapman and Hall/CRC*, 2015.

FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, SECCIÓN DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA LAGUNA, LA LAGUNA, 38200, SPAIN,
E-mail address: ibermejo@ull.es

FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, SECCIÓN DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA LAGUNA, LA LAGUNA, 38200, SPAIN
E-mail address: evgarcia@ull.es

LIP, ENS LYON - CNRS -UCBL - INRIA, UNIVERSITÉ DE LYON UMR 5668, LYON, FRANCE
E-mail address: ignacio.garcia-marco@ens-lyon.fr, iggarcia@ull.es